

# 2002 Pennsylvania Winter Wheat and Barley Performance Tests

---

The purpose of this report is to summarize the 2002 performance data of winter wheat and winter barley varieties in field tests in Centre and Lancaster Counties, Pennsylvania. The tests were designed to evaluate grain production and other characteristics of both named and experimental varieties under the climatic and disease conditions that prevailed in the state. Two-year and three-year averages are provided for varieties that have been tested in more than one year at a location.

## Experimental procedures

Each variety plot consisted of five rows, 12-feet long, with a 7-inch spacing between rows. Randomized block and lattice designs were used. Wheat experimental designs involved six replications and those for barley involved four replications. The planting rate was 2 bushels per acre for winter wheat and winter barley. The plots were trimmed to 8 feet before harvest and all five rows were combined for grain yield determination. All yields were determined with clean grain at about 12 percent moisture, and yields were adjusted to 48 and 60 pounds per bushel for barley and wheat, respectively. This year, grain yields were calculated using a new factor with the intent to more accurately reflect yields that a grower may expect.

## Winter wheat variety evaluation 2002 disease ratings

Powdery mildew was rated at the Centre County location during the heading, flowering, and early milk stages of the growth. The three ratings were combined to produce the disease reaction type shown in the PM Rating column. Stagonospora leaf blotch was rated at the early milk stage of growth. Ratings for Stagonospora are presented in the SN column of the report.

## Interpretation of results

Variety performance differences are caused partially by genetic differences and partially by soil variation and other environmental variations that cannot be adequately controlled. Thus, small differences in performance may have no significance. Multiple-year averages are a more valid indication of the performance of a specific variety than data for a single year. Statistical procedures

have been used for the most important characteristics to allow meaningful comparisons of variety averages at a particular location. A standard least significant difference (LSD) value is provided for comparing varieties. Any difference between two variety averages that exceeds the LSD value is considered significant and not simply a result of uncontrolled environmental variation.

The value for the coefficient of variation (CV) is a measure of relative variation useful in evaluating the precision achieved in an experiment. In grain and forage trials, for example, the CV value for yield is often between 5 and 15 percent. Confidence in the reliability of the experimental results declines as the CV value increases.

## Environmental conditions

Fall soil conditions were dry. Winter weather was relatively mild with limited snow cover. In Centre County, rainfall was 1 inch above average in March, 2.8 inches below average in April, 2.8 inches above average in May, and 1.4 inches above average in June. Several severe frosts during barley flowering in Centre County caused sterility throughout the trial. As a result, we have no winter barley data from our Centre County location. Some winter wheat yields in Centre County may have also been reduced due to frost damage.

Rainfall in Lancaster County was 1.2 inches above average in March, 0.75 inches above average in April, 1.15 inches above average in May, and 0.35 inches below average in June. Soil type was Hagerstown silt loam in Centre and Lancaster Counties. Conventional tillage was used in both locations. The previous crop was oats in Centre County and soybean in Lancaster County. Nitrogen was applied in the spring at the rate of 30 and 50 pounds per acre to barley and wheat, respectively. Winter barley was planted on September 19 and winter wheat on October 3 in Centre County. Winter wheat and winter barley were planted on October 9 in Lancaster County. Winter barley in Centre County was not harvested due to plant sterility with all varieties. Winter wheat was harvested on July 22 in Centre County. In Lancaster County, winter barley was harvested on June 20 and winter wheat on July 9.

PENNSSTATE



College of Agricultural Sciences  
Agricultural Research and Cooperative Extension

**Table 1. Performance summary of winter barley varieties in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, 2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)	LODGING (%)
PA 8950-9 <sup>a</sup>	116	51.4	40	
PA 8750-296 <sup>a</sup>	115	51.0	40	
PA 8649-131 <sup>a</sup>	114	52.2	39	
VA 97B-388 <sup>a</sup>	111	52.3	35	5
Pennbar 66 <sup>a</sup>	105	51.4	39	
PA 9550-149	104	50.2	35	13
PA 8649-95 <sup>a</sup>	103	50.8	39	6
PA 9550-151	101	50.2	37	13
VA 97B-176 <sup>a</sup>	97	51.0	31	68
Price <sup>a</sup>	96	50.0	32	31
PA 9550-157	95	50.3	38	9
Pennco	92	46.9	36	33
Callao <sup>a</sup>	90	51.0	29	95
Nomini	90	48.6	37	
PA 9349-73	87	50.1	39	9
VA 00H-10 * <sup>a</sup>	85	59.2	34	11
Catchpenny	85	47.2	33	5
VA 00H-137 * <sup>a</sup>	83	58.6	33	40
Barsoy <sup>a</sup>	81	52.3	37	28
SC 890595 * <sup>a</sup>	77	59.2	34	8
Average	96	52.0	36	25
LSD (0.05)	12	0.8	2	
CV %	8	1.1	4	

\* hullless variety

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 2. Two-year performance summary of winter barley varieties in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, 2001–2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)
PA 8950-9 <sup>a</sup>	98	52.2	35
PA 8649-131 <sup>a</sup>	97	52.4	35
VA 97B-388 <sup>a</sup>	95	53.0	30
PA 9550-151	94	50.3	33
PA 9550-149	93	50.7	33
PA 8649-95 <sup>a</sup>	92	51.6	34
Pennbar 66 <sup>a</sup>	91	51.8	35
PA 9550-157	88	50.4	33
Price <sup>a</sup>	85	51.6	28
VA 97B-176 <sup>a</sup>	85	52.6	28
Pennco	85	48.3	31
Nomini	83	48.9	34
Callao <sup>a</sup>	82	52.6	26
Barsoy <sup>a</sup>	80	53.0	34

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 3. Three-year performance summary of winter barley varieties in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, 2000–2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)
PA8649-95 <sup>a</sup>	96	50.0	35
PA8649-131 <sup>a</sup>	96	50.9	35
PA8950-9 <sup>a</sup>	95	51.0	36
Pennbar 66 <sup>a</sup>	92	50.4	35
PA9550-151	86	48.8	35
Nomini	84	47.9	36
PA9550-157	82	48.9	35
Pennco	82	47.2	33
Callao <sup>a</sup>	79	51.8	28
Barsoy <sup>a</sup>	78	52.3	35

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 4. Performance of soft red winter wheat varieties and one winter triticale variety in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, in 2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)	LODGING (%)
Valor	103	59.7	42	7
25R37	98	60.2	39	
Trical 336 Triticale <sup>a</sup>	95*	54.3	49	
USG 3408	94	59.0	40	
Honey <sup>a</sup>	94	57.3	39	
McCormick	93	61.8	36	
NK Coker 9025	93	57.9	39	3
Mendon Red Wheat	92	56.9	47	
M 94*1549-1 <sup>a</sup>	91	59.8	37	
Featherstone 520 <sup>a</sup>	91	60.6	39	
Hopewell	90	57.5	39	
25R78 <sup>a</sup>	90	58.7	38	
MD 71-5 <sup>a</sup>	89	58.2	37	
Freedom	89	56.9	40	
NK Coker 9663	89	58.6	43	
Roane	89	60.7	37	
NK Coker 9184	89	60.6	37	
Genesis 9953 Red Wheat	89	56.5	42	
Wilken 204	88	58.0	43	
Wilken 132	88	59.0	41	
Patton	88	58.2	40	
MDV 11-52	88	58.0	35	
Tribute	87	61.7	36	
Wilken 154	87	59.2	42	2
Wilken 121	85	58.2	39	
NK Century II	85	59.1	40	
Sisson	85	57.9	36	
Bravo	85	58.0	40	
Pennmore	83	59.6	45	
PA 158	81	57.4	44	
Daisy	81	56.0	38	
APK 30-W	80	58.9	42	
Wilken 113 <sup>a</sup>	80	60.8	43	
Elkhart <sup>a</sup>	77	60.1	43	
NK Coker 9474	77	58.4	39	
Redcoat	76	59.5	51	
Average	88	58.7	41	
LSD (0.05)	7	0.6	2	
CV %	7	0.9	3	

\* 114 bu/A based on 50 lb/bu weight.

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 5. Two-year performance summary of soft red winter wheat varieties in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, in 2001–2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)
25R37	84	60.3	35
Honey <sup>a</sup>	80	57.4	35
USG 3408	80	59.1	37
Mendon Red Wheat	80	57.1	42
Featherstone 520 <sup>a</sup>	79	60.6	36
Wilken 132	79	59.2	39
Genesis 9953 Red Wheat	78	56.5	38
Patton	77	58.4	37
Roane	76	60.5	34
Sisson	76	58.9	33
Wilken 204	75	58.0	40
MDV 11-52	75	58.1	31
APK 30-W	75	59.0	38
Tribute	74	61.6	33
Wilken 121	73	58.4	37
Wilken 154	73	59.2	40
Hopewell	73	57.6	35
Elkhart <sup>a</sup>	70	59.9	39
Redcoat	64	59.4	47

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 6. Three-year performance summary of soft red winter wheat varieties in Lancaster County, Pennsylvania, 2000–2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)
USG 3408	84	59.1	36
Wilken132	82	59.1	38
Patton	78	57.9	35
Wilken204	77	58.1	39
Featherstone 520 <sup>a</sup>	77	59.6	35
Roane	74	59.9	32
Wilken121	73	58.1	35
Elkhart <sup>a</sup>	72	59.4	37
Wilken154	69	58.3	38
Redcoat	61	58.3	45

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 7. Performance of soft red winter wheat varieties and one winter triticale variety in Centre County, Pennsylvania, in 2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT (IN)	LODGING (%)	MATURITY HEIGHT	PM	SN
Hopewell	74	57.9	39		5/27	MS	MS
Wilken 154	73	58.4	42		5/28	MS	MR
Roane	73	60.3	37	4	5/26	MR	MR
Freedom	72	56.8	41		5/27	MR	MR
Mendon Red Wheat	72	56.8	44	13	5/27	MR	MS
Valor	69	56.5	41	38	5/28	R	S
NK Coker 9184	68	60.5	38		5/26	MR	MR
Daisy	68	57.4	38		5/26	MR	S
Genesis 9953 Red Wheat	67	56.5	40	18	5/24	MS	MS
Bravo	66	57.5	40	7	5/26	S	MS
25R37	65	58.8	39		5/26	MR	MR
USG 3408	64	58.4	38	23	5/25	MS	MR
Wilken 121	64	57.3	38	32	5/26	S	MS
Wilken 113 <sup>a</sup>	62	59.8	41		5/30	S	S
APK 30-W	62	58.5	42	10	5/26	S	MR
Patton	62	57.6	40	6	5/28	MS	MR
Honey <sup>a</sup>	61	56.5	39	3	5/25	MR	MR
Redcoat	61	58.4	47	4	5/30	MS	MR
Penmore	61	58.1	42	34	5/27	MS	MS
Nk Coker 9025	61	56.7	38	43	5/27	MS	MR
Wilken 132	60	58.6	42	8	5/26	S	MR
McCormick	60	60.8	36		5/25	R	MR
Trical 336 Triticale <sup>a</sup>	59 <sup>*</sup>	53.0	50		5/24	R	MR
PA 158	58	57.7	41	27	5/30	S	S
Sisson	56	57.6	36		5/24	R	MR
NK Coker 9663	56	59.3	40		5/26	S	MS
M 94*1549-1 <sup>a</sup>	55	58.4	39		5/25	MR	S
Tribute	55	60.7	37		5/26	R	MR
NK Coker 9474	55	58.9	37		5/25	S	S
Wilken 204	55	57.1	41		5/26	S	MS
Elkhart <sup>a</sup>	54	59.1	41		5/26	S	S
25R78 <sup>a</sup>	51	57.8	38		5/26	MS	S
MDV 11-52	50	58.3	34		5/26	R	MR
NK Century II	50 <sup>**</sup>	58.8	37	2	5/24	S	MS
Featherstone 520 <sup>a</sup>	44 <sup>**</sup>	58.6	37	3	5/25	R	MR
MD 71-5 <sup>a</sup>	43 <sup>**</sup>	57.4	36		5/26	R	MR
Average	61	58.1	39				
LSD (0.05)	8	1.0	2				
CV %	11	1.5	3				

\* 71 bu/A based on 50 lb/bu weight.

\*\* frost damage observed

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

PM = Powdery Mildew  
SN = Stagonospora leaf blotch

Key: R = resistant, MR = moderately resistant,  
MS = moderately susceptible, and S = susceptible.

**Table 8. Two-year performance summary of soft red winter wheat varieties in Centre County, Pennsylvania, in 2001–2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)
Hopewell	76	58.4	38
Roane	72	61.2	36
Honey <sup>a</sup>	69	57.6	37
Wilken 154	69	59.3	40
25R37	69	60.2	36
Sisson	67	59.0	34
Genesis 9953 Red Wheat	67	57.3	39
USG 3408	67	59.3	37
Tribute	65	61.8	35
Patton	65	58.2	38
Wilken 121	65	58.5	38
Mendon Red Wheat	65	57.1	44
Wilken 132	61	59.6	41
APK 30-W	61	59.5	40
MDV 11-52	58	59.0	32
Wilken 204	58	58.3	41
Elkhart <sup>a</sup>	57	60.2	40
Featherstone 520 <sup>a</sup>	55	60.1	36
Redcoat	52	59.3	45

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 9. Three-year performance summary of soft red winter wheat varieties in Centre County, Pennsylvania, 2000–2002.**

VARIETY	GRAIN YIELD (BU/A)	BUSHEL WEIGHT (LB/BU)	PLANT HEIGHT (IN)
Roane	78	60.8	34
USG 3408	75	59.2	36
Patton	72	58.3	36
Wilken121	72	58.4	37
Wilken154	71	59.2	38
Wilken132	70	59.4	39
Elkhart <sup>a</sup>	66	60.1	38
Featherstone 520 <sup>a</sup>	66	60.1	35
Wilken204	65	58.3	40
Redcoat	57	59.3	44

<sup>a</sup> awned variety

**Table 10. Source or origin of winter wheat and barley varieties evaluated in Pennsylvania in 2002.**

ENTRY	SOURCE OR ORIGIN
<b><i>Wheat and Triticale</i></b>	
Honey, Daisy, Valor	Sunbeam Extract Co., Wooster, OH
Featherstone 520	Featherstone Farm Seed, Amelia, VA
Patton, Elkhart, M94*1549-1	AgriPro Seeds, Lafayette, IN
APK-30W	Agway, York, PA
Sisson, Roane, Tribute, McCormick	Virginia AES
25R78, 25R37	Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., Tipton, IN
USG 3408	Unisouth Genetics, Inc., Nashville, TN
Wilken 132, Wilken 154, Wilken 204, Wilken 121, Wilken 113	Wilken Seed Grains, Inc., Pontiac, IL
Hopewell, Bravo, Freedom	Ohio AES
MDV11-52, MD71-5	Maryland AES
Trical 336 Triticale, Mendon Red Wheat, Genesis 3953 Red Wheat	Agriculver Seeds, Trumansburg, NY
NK Coker 9663, NK Coker 9025, NK Coker 9184, NK Coker 9474, NK Century II	Syngenta Seeds, Inc., Winterville, NC
PA 158, Pennmore	Pennsylvania AES
Redcoat	Indiana AES
<b><i>Winter Barley</i></b>	
Barsoy	Kentucky AES
Callao, Nomini, Price, VA97B-176, VA97B-388, VA 00H-10, VA 00H-137	Virginia AES
Pennco, Pennbar 66, PA 8649-131, PA 8950-9, PA 9550-151, PA 9550-157, PA 9550-149, PA 8750-296, PA 9349-73, PA 8649-95	Pennsylvania AES
Catchpenny	Maryland AES
SC 890595	South Carolina AES

**Acknowledgments**

The data in this report are from cooperative research conducted by the personnel of the Departments of Crop and Soil Sciences and Plant Pathology at The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania.

For their assistance in various aspects of this research, acknowledgment is made to O. E. Hatley, professor of agronomy, J. O. Yocum, senior research associate in agronomy, and W. S. Harkcom, agronomy farm manager.

Prepared by Shaun Heinbaugh, agronomy technician, Erick DeWolf, assistant professor of plant pathology, and Greg Roth, associate professor of agronomy.

Visit Penn State's College of Agricultural Sciences on the Web:  
<http://www.cas.psu.edu>

Penn State College of Agricultural Sciences research, extension, and resident education programs are funded in part by Pennsylvania counties, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Where trade names appear, no discrimination is intended, and no endorsement by Penn State Cooperative Extension is implied.

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension Work, Acts of Congress May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the Pennsylvania Legislature, T.R. Alter, Director of the Cooperative Extension Service, The Pennsylvania State University.

This publication is available in alternative media on request.

The Pennsylvania State University is committed to the policy that all persons shall have equal access to programs, facilities, admission, and employment without regard to personal characteristics not related to ability, performance, or qualifications as determined by University policy or by state or federal authorities. It is the policy of the University to maintain an academic and work environment free of discrimination, including harassment. The Pennsylvania State University prohibits discrimination and harassment against any person because of age, ancestry, color, disability or handicap, national origin, race, religious creed, sex, sexual orientation, or veteran status. Discrimination or harassment against faculty, staff, or students will not be tolerated at The Pennsylvania State University. Direct all inquiries regarding the nondiscrimination policy to the Affirmative Action Director, The Pennsylvania State University, 201 Willard Building, University Park, PA 16802-2801, Tel 814-865-4700/V, 814-863-1150/TTY.

© The Pennsylvania State University 2002

Produced by Information and Communication Technologies in the College of Agricultural Sciences CAT UC054 3M902ps ICT3612